

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**M.A. No. 149 of 2013
M.A. No. 1040 of 2013 to M.A. No. 1043 of 2013,
M.A. No. 1083 of 2013, M.A. No. 40 of 2014, M.A. No. 361 of 2014
&
M.A. No. 373 of 2014
In
Original Application No. 149 of 2013**

Dr. P.C. Prasad & Ors. Vs. Govt. Of NCT of Delhi & Ors.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. CHOKALINGAM, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. A. A. DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER**

Present: Applicant: Mr. Aditya Prasad, Applicant No. 2 and Mr. Rajeev Mahuntal, Applicant No. 3, Mr. Pranjal Kishore, Ms. Vallari Sheel (TERI), Mr. Rajul Jain, Adv. Ms. Esha Dutta Adv. Mr. Amit Verma, Adv. along with Mr. Dhruv Verma, Mr. Nitin Verma, Ms. Stuti Sumbroi, Adv. and Mr. Vidhur Mohan, Adv.

Respondent Nos. 1 to 4: Mr. Sanjay Dewan, Adv. Mr. Manoj Kr. SE PWD and Mr. Prasanth Rajgopal, DCF (West) Mr. Salauddin Khan, Adv. MoEF Mr. Ashish Verma, Adv. BSES Rajthani Power Ltd. Mr. Narender Pal Singh, Adv. and Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal (Vacation Bench)
Item No. 3 June 18, 2014	<p>Heard the Learned counsel appearing for the parties.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing for the Respondent would submit that the replies in M.A. No. 361 of 2014 and M.A. No. 373 of 2014 have been filed.</p> <p>Pursuant to the directions issued by the Tribunal Respondents have filed an Affidavit by the Superintending Engineer of the project in question. Learned counsel appearing for Respondents would submit that there were totally 5016 trees on the entire stretch of 14 kms from Vikas Puri to Mukarbachowk. Out of which total 1080 trees were cut at 3 stages namely 448 at the first stage, 225 at the second stage and 411 at the third stage and those were cut after the Orders given by the Forest Department following the Orders of modifications made by the Tribunal on the earlier occasions and now it has become necessary to permit the Respondents to cut 208 trees for completing the ramp work and also further permission to cut 97 trees for completion of the Project. Countering the request the Petitioner would submit that he was shocked to hear that 1080 trees were</p>

already cut and it is true that some modifications were made by the Tribunal on the earlier occasions on the Status QUO order. But the Respondents were never permitted to cut 1080 trees and in that regard he raised his strong objections. Further, in so far as present request for cutting of trees is concerned the Petitioner would submit that he was served with the copy of the Affidavit only this day and hence he must be allowed to file his reply.

After hearing both sides the Tribunal is of the view that the request of the Respondents for further cutting of trees can be considered only after getting the reply of the Petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for Respondents would submit that as per directions of the Tribunal they have brought all the original documents pertaining to the permission granted in their favour and they are ready to produce the same. Since the matter is not taken up for enquiry this day the Respondent are directed to the produce the same at the time of the enquiry of the main Application.

Let this matter be posted on 27th June, 2014.

....., JM
(M. Chokalingam)

....., EM
(A. A. Deshpande)